# GDC\_

# OC Quality Enhancement Cell

# **Check list for Assessment Team**

Department N	ame:		Date of `	Visit:		
Name of AT Members: (1)			(2)			
I. PROGRA	AM MISSIO	N, OBJECT	TIVE AND (	OUTCO	MES	
1. Has the de	partment docu	mented progr	am vision?			
	y (2) Inc	1 0		)		
	partment docu					
(1) Yes/clearly	y (2) Inc	ompletely/vaguely (3) N		)		
	partment docu		•			
(1) Yes/clearly	y (2) Inc	ompletely/vag	uely (3) No	)		
4. Has the de	partment docu	mented progr	am outcomes?	?		
(1) Yes/clearly	y (2) Inc	ompletely/vag	uely (3) No	)		
5. How many	steps has the	department ta	ken to fulfill n	rogram n	nission?	
•	(2) Very few	-	-	(4) Many		
	steps has the (2) Very few				-	
7. How man outcomes?	ny steps has	the departm	ent taken to	achieve	program	targeted
(1) None	(2) Very few	(3) So	me	(4) Many	,	
8. How many programme?	significant fu	ture plans ha	ve been devise	ed for the	developme	ent of the
	(2) Very few	(3) No	one			
9. Does the do	epartment hav	e its own asses	ssment method	1?		
(1) Yes, Well	established	(2) Yes, but n	ot well establis	hed (3	B) Not at all	İ
10. How man	y faculty mem	bers are awar	e of program	vision and	mission?	
(1) None	(2) Some	(3) Mostly	(4) All			
11. How man	y faculty mem	bers are awar	e of program	objective a	and outcor	ne?
(1) None	(2) Some	(3) Mostly	(4) All			
12. How man	y students are	satisfied with	the courses be	eing taugh	t at the ins	stitute?
(1) 25%	(2) 50%	(3) 75%	(4) above 75%	6		

# **II. CURRICULUM DESIGN AND ORGANIZATION**

1. When was (1) Four years					(3) Two	years	ago	(4) One	year ag	<b>50</b>
2. Is the curri	riculum approved by PMDC? (2) Yes, in some subjects				npletely	HEC-	approved	d		
3. Is the cu objectives?						_	ıl to a	nchieve	the pr	ogram
4. Are the adv (1) No	vances i		isciplin	e inclu	ded in tl	he curr	iculum	1?		
5. How many (1) All	course	s meet p	prograi	nme ob	, ,	:?	ne			
6. How much as specified by (1) 25%	y the re	espectiv	e accre	ditation	o body?	_	remen	ts for the	e progi	ramme
7. How much professional at the respective	and oth	ner disc	ipline 1		•	_				
(1) 25%			•	6	(4) abov	ve 75%				
8. How many (1) None								re than T	wo cou	ırses
<b>9. What is the</b> (1) One semes other. Please s	ter	(2) Two	o semes	sters	0		Two S	emesters	(	4) Any
<b>10.</b> What is th (1) Very good		of stude	_				s? (4) Poo	or		
11. How man skills of stude (1) None	ents?				_					
12. What is the (1) One semes other. Please s	ter	(2) Two	o semes	sters					(4	4) Any
13. What is th					-	•	(4) Poo	or		
III. LABOR	RATO	RIES A	AND (	COMP	UTIN	G FA	CILIT	CIES		
1. How many (1) None	labora (2) One			e in the	_		re than	three		

•			anized	(3) Very well equipped			
3. How many lai (1) More than the Please name then	ree (2) Thre	ee to Five	(3) One to T	hree	(4) None		
<b>4. How many sc</b> (1) Six or more					(4) None		
Please List them	ı:	,	,		_		
<b>5. Are the comp</b> (1) No (2)	_		•		all		
<b>6. Are the comp</b> (1) No (2)	_	_					
<b>7. Is Internet fac</b> (1) No (2)	•	le for the stude ut not for all		es. Avail	able to all		
_	_	<b>s support the</b> (3) 75%		_	nt of the programme?		
9. Is there ade laboratories? (1) Yes (2)					l maintenance of the		
and readily acce	essible to fac	ulty and stud	ents?		experiments available s, Available to all		
	11. Are the support staff and faculty aware of the safety rules and laboratory ethics? (1) Yes, very well (2) To some extent (3) Not at all						
<b>12. Do all the la</b> (1) Yes, all (2)	_		inguishers at (3) Not at all		safety measures?		
13. How many computer experts are in the department? (1) None (2) One (3) Two (4) Three or more							
IV. STUDEN	T SUPPOR	RT AND AI	OVISING				
1. Are the facustudents? (1) Yes, Always	ulty member (2) Som		after the lec	ture tim	e to advise or guide		
2. Does the depa program, to mai (1) Yes (2)	ke course de	cisions and ca	areer choices		n how to complete the		

3. Does the students?		extend extra	-curricular a	and co-curricular activities to eat extent				
(1) Once a yes. <b>5. How often</b>	ear (2) Even	very Semester ses are offered						
6. Do students have access to professional counseling when necessary? (1) Yes, All the time (2) Rarely (3) Not at all								
V. PROCE	ESS CONTR	OL						
(1) Last year	<ul><li>1. When was the last admission criteria revised?</li><li>(1) Last year (2) Two years back (3) More than five years back</li></ul>							
VI. FACU	<u>LTY</u>							
1. How man	y faculty men	ıbers are Post	-doctorate?					
(1) None	(2) One	(3) Two	(4) Three o	r more				
2. How man	y faculty mem	bers are PhD	?					
(1) None	(2) One	(3) Two	(4) Three o	r more				
3. How man	y faculty mem	bers are MS/N	M. Phil?					
(1) None	(2) One		(4) Three o	r more				
4. How man	4. How many faculty members are FCPS or Equivalent? (1) None (2) One (3) Two (4) Three or more							
5. How man awards?	y faculty mer	nbers have ea	arned interna	ntional / national / institutional				
(1) None	(2) One	(3) Two	(4) Three o	r more				
6. How man fellowship?	6. How many faculty members have earned international / national / institutional							
(1) None	(2) One	(3) Two	(4) Three o	r more				
<b>7.</b> Is the teac (1) Yes	7. Is the teaching load adequate on faculty? (1) Yes (2) Some what (3) Not at all.							
8. How many research papers have been published by the faculty in recognized journals?								
(1) None	(2) Up to 5	(3) U	p to 10	(4) More than 10				
9. How many books chapters have been written by the faculty? (1) None (2) Up to 3 (3) Up to 6 (4) More than 6								
10. How many conferences, workshop or symposia have been conducted by the								
department(1) None		(3) U	p to 4	(4) More than 4				

	•	-		es / symposia / workshops?			
(1) None	(2) Up to 3	$(3) U_{2}$	p to 6	(4) More than 6			
12. Have all	faculty members	prepared t	heir resume in	line with HEC guidelines?			
(1) None	(2) Some		ore than half	_			
	faculty members chnical depth and (2) Some Memb	d breadth to	support the p	C			
14. Are there enough faculty members to provide continuity and stability to cover the curriculum adequately and effectively? (1) Yes (2) To some extent (3) No							
15. Are. There any existing faculty development Programmes at the departmental/university level?							
(1) Yes	(2) No						
16. How often faculty Programmes are evaluated? (1) On yearly Basis (2) After the completion of each programme years (3) After 2-3							
<b>17. How man</b> 1) 25%	ny faculty member (2) 50% (2)	ers are satis 3) 75%	fied with their (4) above 75°	•			
VII. INST	ITUTIONAL I	FACILITI	<u>ES</u>				
<b>1. Are there</b> (1) No	enough number (2) To some ext		ns in the depart	rtment?			
2. Are classr	ooms well furnis	hed?					
(1) No	(2) To some ext	ent	(3) Well furn	ished			
3. Is there at	ıdio-visual facilit	y available	in each classro	oom?			
(1) No		3) To some					
4. Are there enough numbers of offices in the department for teachers and office work?							
(1) No	(2) Yes (2)	3) To some	extent				
5. Is there any seminar / conference room in the department? (1) No (2) Yes							
<b>6.</b> Is there enough number of books in the relevant subjects in the library? (1) No (2) Yes							
7. Are books easily available for all students and faculty? (1) Yes, Always (2) Some time (3) Never							
8. Is the library staff professional and supportive? (1) Yes, Always (2) Yes but Occasionally (3) Not at all							

# VIII. INSTITUTIONAL SUPPORT

1. Is tl	he department satisfi	ied with the gr	ant it receives from the university?
1) Yes	(2) To some $(3)$	extent	(3) Not at all
	-	ceive grant fro	m other organizations?
1) No	(2) Yes		
<b>3. Is tl</b> 1) No	here any incentive / p (2) Yes	oackage for re (3) To some	taining high caliber faculty? extent
IX.			he performance of the department / collegof the sheet if required)
Х.	Areas for improven (Please use separate		d by you: of the sheet if required)